

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/582,018	FUJITA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Seyed M. Malekzadeh	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended case

- (1) Seyed M. Malekzadeh (Patent Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Jennifer McCue (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2009

**Time:** 2:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 10-12

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization was given to the examiner to cancel the claims 10-12 which are drawn to a non-elected group of invention and to provide some changes to claim 1, as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment, further clarifying the claim language.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Seyed M. Malekzadeh/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)